

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,640	01/10/2002	Carlo Brugnara	13691-002005 / 470-104US2	7466
759	7590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
Gregory P. Einhorn			RAO, DEEPAK R	
Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4350 La Jolla Village Drive San Diego, CA 92122			1624 DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/043,640

Applicant(s)

Brugnara et al.

Examiner

Deepak Rao

Art Unit 1624



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In (TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	patent term asjactment. Good of Griff 1770 (197)				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 9, 200	3			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>17-32</u>	%/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>17-32</u>				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·			
. –	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) ∟ 15) 🔀	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority under 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) [] Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 9, 2003.

Claims 17-32 are pending in this application.

The rejections of the previous office action are rendered moot in view of the cancellation

of claims 1-16.

The following rejections are necessitated by the amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming

the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. In the claims, the definitions of the variables include the terms " (C_1-C_6) alkenyl"

and "(C₁-C₆) alkynyl" groups (all occurrences through all claims, see e.g., definition of Y, R

and R') wherein it is not clear what is intended by a 'C₁ alkenyl or alkynyl' group. An 'alkenyl'

or 'alkynyl' is a group having a carbon to carbon double or triple bond and in the instant case, it

is **not clear** what is an alkenyl or alkynyl group having one carbon atom. The specification

provides ethenyl, etc. as examples which groups have at least two carbon atoms.

This rejection was made in the previous office action, applicant however, neither

amended the claims nor responded to the above indicated discrepancy.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/043,640

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Starnes, Chem.

Abstract 69:43555. The instantly claimed compounds and the corresponding composition read on the reference disclosed compound, see the compound having RN 16778-13-5 in the enclosed copy of CAPLUS computer search report.

Applicant relies on the proviso statement "when --- is single bond, R_1 and R_2 together are =0, Y is absent, R_3 is -C(O)OEt, R_4 is H, m = 0, n = 1, R_5 is absent, then R_6 is not -OH at the para position" in the claim to overcome the rejection. This rejection does not exclude the compound disclosed in Starnes reference. The claim also contains another proviso "when --- is single bond, and R_1 , R_2 , R_3 and R_4 are H, Y is absent, then (a) at least one of R_5 , R_6 or R_7 are other than H" (see page 4, lines 16-17). This statement is also insufficient to overcome the rejection because when the subscripts m and m are 0 in the claim, m0, m2, m3 and m3 are not present in the structural formula and therefore, the claim reads on the reference disclosed compound.

2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barili et al., Chem. Abstract 107:58774. The instantly claimed compound reads on the reference compound RN 104563-21-5, see the enclosed copy of the computer search report.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,640

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant argues that the claims recite the limitation that "when --- is single bond, R1 and R2 taken together are =0, Y is absent, R3 is Me, R4 is H, m = 0, n = 1, then both R5 and R6 are not -OH at the para position", which excludes the reference disclosed compound. However, such limitation is not present in claim 17. The claim contains the following proviso: "when --- is single bond, and R_1 and R_2 taken together are =0, Y is CH_2 , R_3 and R_4 are H, and m=0 and m=1, then m=1, then m=1 and m=1 are not both -OH(para)" (see page 4, lines 19-21). This statement is insufficient to overcome the rejection because the claim includes the reference disclosed compound when Y is absent and m=10.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosnati et al., Chem. Abstract 110:153506. The instant claims read on reference disclosed compounds, see compounds with RN 54193-73-6, etc.

Applicant relies on the proviso statements in the claim to overcome the rejection, however, the proviso statements do not exclude the reference disclosed compounds. For example, the reference disclosed compound:

RN 54193-73-6 CAPLUS

CN 2H-Inden-2-one, 1,3-dihydro-1,1-diphenyl- (9CI) (CA INDEX NAME)

Application/Control Number: 10/043,640 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

is a tautomeric equivalent of the instantly claimed compound of formula (I) wherein:

X is C;

--- is a double bond;

 R_1 and R_2 are H;

 R_3 is absent;

R₄ is OR' wherein R' is H; and

m and n are 0.

There was no proviso statement to exclude any of the reference disclosed compounds.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koelsch et al., Chem. Abstract 55:48596. The instant claim reads on reference disclosed compounds 102242-25-1, etc.

Applicant relies on the proviso statement "when --- is single bond, R_1 and R_2 together are =0, Y is absent, R_3 and R_4 are H, m = 0, n is 1; then (a) if R_5 is absent, R_6 is not OMe (para) or OH (para)" to overcome the rejection. The proviso in the claim excludes the compounds when R_5 is H and R_6 is OH or OMe. However, the claim includes the compounds wherein R_6 is H and R_5 is OH or OMe and since both phenyl rings substituted with R_5 and R_6 are attached to the same ring carbon, the claim continues to read on reference compounds.

Art Unit: 1624

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Manning et al., Chem. Abstract 94:102358. The instant claim reads on the reference compounds RN 18636-52-7, etc.

Applicant relies on the proviso statement "when --- is double bond, Y, R_2 and R_3 are absent, R_1 and R_4 are H, m = 0, (a) then n is not 0; (b) if n is 1, R_5 is absent, then R_6 is not -OMe (para), or -Br (para) or -CN (para)". The limitation (a) requires 'n to be one', however, R5 and R6 can be H and therefore, the claim continues to read on the reference disclosed compound. The above limitation (b) is insufficient because it excludes the compounds when R_5 is H and R_6 is Br or CN or OMe. However, the claim includes the compounds wherein R_6 is H and R_5 is Br or CN or OMe and since both phenyl rings substituted with R_5 and R_6 are attached to the same ring carbon, the claim continues to read on reference compounds.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al., Chem. Abstract 74:75859. The instantly claimed compounds read on the reference disclosed compound, see the compound RN 31366-71-9 in the enclosed copy of the CAPLUS computer search report. The reference teaches the presence of the compound in a solvent and therefore, inherently teaches a composition thereof. The proviso statement in claim 1 has been fully considered but not deemed to be sufficient to overcome the rejection because - the proviso recites 'when X is C and R_1 is -OH, at least one of R_5 , R_6 and R_7 is other than -H,....', however, when the subscripts m and n

Application/Control Number: 10/043,640

Art Unit: 1624

are 0 in formula (I) the substituents R₅, R₆ and R₇ are not present and therefore, the claims include the reference disclosed compound.

Applicant relied on the proviso statements to overcome the rejection, however, the first proviso statement at page 4, lines 9-10 is not sufficient for the reasons explained above, i.e., when m and n are 0, R₅, R₆ and R₇ are not present in the structural formula and the requirement that they are other than H has no effect on the compounds of structural formula (I).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hendrickson et al., 7. Chem. Abstract 110:113878. The instantly claimed compounds read on the reference disclosed compound, see the compound RN 55010-17-8 in the enclosed copy of the CAPLUS computer search report. The reference teaches the presence of the compound in a solvent and therefore, inherently teaches a composition thereof. The proviso statement in claim 1 has been fully considered but not deemed to be sufficient to overcome the rejection because - the proviso recites 'when X is C and R_1 is =0, at least one of R_5 , R_6 and R_7 is other than -H,....', however, when the subscripts m and n are 0 in formula (I) the substituents R₅, R₆ and R₇ are not present and therefore, the claims include the reference disclosed compound.

Applicant relied on the proviso statements in claim 17 to overcome the above rejection, however, the proviso statement at page 4, lines 11-12 is not sufficient to exclude the reference compound for the reasons stated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,640

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (703) 305-1879. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Mukund Shah, can be reached on (703) 308-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Deepak Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

October 6, 2003